IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

and
THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 10925 of 2005

Between:

B.V.Prasad plot No.27, Near Pallavi Model School, Manovikasa Nagar, Bowenpally, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Limba Reddy, Circle Inspector of police,
Task Force, West Zone, Besides Lifestyle complex,
Begumpet Hyderabad.
2 Sridhar, Sub-Inspector of police, Task force, West Zone, Besides Lifestyle complex, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
3 Chalama Reddy, R/o.124, Kamalapuri Colony, Phase No.3, Hyderabad.
4 The Commissioner of Police, Twin Cities, Hyderabad.
5 The Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
6 The Director General of police, Govt. of A.P., Hyderabad.
7 The Secretary to the Govt., of A.P., Home (Police) Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the

circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction, or a writ, more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus to call for records and remarks from the Respondents herein relating to (i) forcibly taking away the petitioner herein by Sridhar, Sub-Inspector of police, Task force, West Zone, Hyderabad, along with 12 police personnel in mufti, without disclosing any reason, ignoring the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme court of India, to the office of the police Task force on 25-04-2005 and I was confined there, where Limba Reddy, Circle Inspector of police, behaved rashly dealt with the petitioner herein an abused him in filthy language; (ii) calling the petitioner herein again at about 8-30 P.M. to the Task force office and the circle Inspector of police again abused the petitioner herein and demanded the petitioner herein to pay Rs.20 Lakhs and settle all disputes, which are pending in courts between the petitioner herein and one Mr.Chalama Reddy, whom the petitioner herein found sitting along with Limba Rddy, cutting jokes; (iii) warning the petitioner herein again on 26-4-2005 by Limba Reddy, circle Inspector of police, that if the petitioner herein won't come to the office as directed he would not be allowed to stay in the State of Andhra Pradesh, (iv) receiving phone calls from the cell No.9848778971 from another sub-Inspector of police, whose name was not disclosed to the petitioner herein directing him to come to the office of the Task force immediately, otherwise he would be dealt with more harshly than Yesterday's episode, and therefore request the Hon'ble court to pass appropriate orders directing the concerned authorities to enquire into the matter with regard to the illegal, arbitrary and high handed conduct of Limba Reddy, circle Inspector of police, Task Force, West Zone, Begumpet, Hyderabad, who was blackmailing and trying to extort Rs.20 Lakhs from the petitioner herein, and take action against him, and to protect the life of the petitioner herein in the interest of justice and to pass such other or further orders as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: TAKENUP WRIT PETITION

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER: (per Hon'ble Dr. GYR, J)

The petitioner alleged that he was forcibly taken away by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Task Force, West Zone, Hyderabad on 25-04-2005 and was illegally detained for some time and later he was again called at 8.30 p.m to the Task Force Office and he was abused demanding to pay Rupees 20 lakhs to settle all the disputes.

The first respondent, Inspector of Police, Task Force, Begumpet, Hyderabad, filed a counter affidavit mentioning that the petitioner was called through a cell phone to come to the Task Force Office only for the purpose of enquiry and he was not demanded to pay any amount and he was not abused.

The learned Advocate General represented that the petitioner is not required in the crime in which he was interrogated.

In the light of the averments made through the counter affidavit, no further orders need be passed in this petition. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed.

_____

(GODA RAGHURAM, J)

_____

(Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J)

Dated: 10-05-2005

Vs/ks

To

1 The Commissioner of Police, Twin Cities, Hyderabad.
2 The Director General, Anti-Corruption Bureau, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3 The Director General of police, Govt. of A.P., Hyderabad.
4 The Secretary to the Govt., of A.P., Home (Police) Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

5 2 CCs to the GP for Home, High Court buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

6 2 CD copies